

GE 159 Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201 USA

Transmitted via Overnight Courier

December 21, 2007

Mr. Richard Fisher United States Environmental Protection Agency 1 Congress Street, Suite 1100 (HBO) Boston, MA 02114-2023 Ms. Susan Steenstrup DEP Project Coordinator Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup Department of Environmental Protection 436 Dwight Street Springfield, MA 01103

Re: GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site Former Oxbow Areas A and C (GECD410)

Summary of 2007 Conditional Solution Inspection Activities

Dear Mr. Fisher and Ms. Steenstrup:

On November 28, 2007, the General Electric Company (GE) completed the first annual review and inspection of properties located within Former Oxbow Areas A and C, at which Conditional Solutions have been implemented under the Consent Decree (CD) for the GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site. Those properties are Parcels I8-23-6, I9-5-1, and I9-5-2 (which are commonly owned) and Parcel I8-23-10. GE sent letters to the owners of these properties on June 21, 2007, notifying them of the implementation of Conditional Solutions at their properties. For such non-GE-owned properties at which a Conditional Solution has been implemented, the CD requires certain annual post-remediation review and inspection activities.

Once a Final Completion Report for Former Oxbow Areas A and C Removal Action has been finalized, it will establish requirements for the performance of the annual post-remediation review and inspection activities at the properties with Conditional Solutions. In the meantime, for purposes of the 2007 inspections, GE followed the procedures outlined in Paragraphs 36 and 38 and Appendix Q of the CD and GE's *Final Removal Design/Removal Action Work Plan for Former Oxbow Areas A and C* (Final Work Plan; July 2005), with the modifications on which GE and EPA have agreed for such inspections at other areas subject to the CD.

As part of these activities, GE reviewed the most recent property records from the Pittsfield Tax Assessor's Office, as well as the deed records at the Berkshire Middle District Registry of Deeds and determined there has not been a change in ownership of any of the parcels subject to a Conditional Solution. In addition, GE reviewed the Final Work Plan, which describes the Conditional Solutions, as well as the attached technical drawing (Attachment A), which depicts the post-remediation site features and topography that were determined after an agreement with the property owner of Parcel I8-23-6 to remove the soil piles on that property, as discussed with EPA.

GE also conducted a visual site inspection of each property (to the extent possible given any access limitations) to evaluate whether any of the following have occurred since completion of the remediation activities and implementation of the Conditional Solutions:

- 1) Any change in activities or uses of the property that would be potentially inconsistent with the land use for which the Conditional Solution was implemented;
- 2) Installation of a new utility or repair or replacement of an existing utility that involved disturbance of soil;
- 3) Any excavations, construction, or other activities or conditions that resulted in the disturbance of ten (10) cubic yards of soil or greater, regardless of depth; and
- 4) If any of the activities described in # 2 or # 3 above are noted, any reduction of the surface grade, compared to that shown in the attached technical drawing (or any more up-to-date map, if available).

The results of the November 2007 inspection were documented in an Annual Inspection Checklist for each property. Copies of the completed forms are attached. As documented in these forms, the property record reviews indicated that there has been no change in ownership of any of the properties involved and the inspection showed no visual evidence of any of the above-listed activities or conditions at these properties since the implementation of the Conditional Solutions. (Since the date of the inspection, two monitoring wells were installed on Parcel I8-23-6. However, installation of the wells did not change the surface grade at this property.)

As required by the CD, these properties will be inspected annually. Following each inspection, an inspection report will be prepared and submitted to EPA and MDEP.

Please call me if you have any comments or questions.

Sincerely

Richard Gates

Remediation Project Manager

Richard GateS/156B

Attachments

G:\GE\GE_Pittsfield_CD_Former_Oxbow_Areas_A_and_C\Reports and Presentations\Inspections\601711324Ltr.doc

cc: Dean Tagliaferro, EPA Tim Conway, EPA

Holly Inglis, EPA

Rose Howell, EPA*

Linda Palmieri, Weston (2 copies)

K.C. Mitkevicius, USACE

Anna Symington, MDEP*

Jane Rothchild, MDEP*

Nancy E. Harper, MA AG*

Mayor James Ruberto, City of Pittsfield*

Michael Carroll, GE*

Rod McLaren, GE*

Peter Wojcik, GE*

James Nuss, ARCADIS BBL

James Bieke, Goodwin Procter LLP

Property Owners – Above-Listed Parcels

Public Information Repositories

GE Internal Repository

^{*} cover letter only

CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C			
PARCEL NUMBER 18-23-6			
Conducted By: Elizabeth Bremer, ARCADIS BBL Phone Number: (315) 671-9444 Representing: GE Review Start Date: 11/20/2007			
1. X Check here to confirm that the description of the Conditional Solution in the Final Removal Design/Removal Action Work Plan, attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (and any alternative plan proposed by GE for the comparison described in Item 5 on next page), and any subsequent work plan(s) approved and implemented pursuant to Paragraph 35 of the Consent Decree have been reviewed.			
2. X Check here to confirm that the most recent property records from the Pittsfield Tax Assessor's Office for this property have been reviewed.			
3. Has there been a change in ownership of this property? X No Yes - If yes, list the new owner's name and mailing address below and indicate whether a notice of the Conditional Solution has been or will be sent to the new owner.			
4. Review Completed Date: 11/20/2007			
Conducted By: Greg Rabasco, ARCADIS BBL Phone Number: (413) 822-1184 Representing: GE Inspection Start Date: 11/28/2007 1. List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual site inspection. None			
2. Is there any visual evidence of changes in activities and uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially inconsistent with the land use for which the Conditional Solution was implemented (e.g., commercial or recreational, as appropriate)? X No Yes - If yes, describe below.			
3. Is there any visual evidence of installation of a new utility or repair or replacement of an existing utility that involved disturbance of soil within the property since the last inspection? X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.			

CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C	
4. Is there any visual evidence of excavations, construction, or other activities or conditions that resulted in the disturbance of 10 cubic yards of soil or greater, regardless of depth, within the property? X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	
5. If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 and 4 appears to have altered the surface grade of the property compared to the surface grade shown on the attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (or an alternative, more recent plan proposed by GE), identify the approximate area/location(s) of such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade in the above-listed drawings and/or plan.	
6. Inspection Completed Date: 11/28/2007	

CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C	
PARCEL NUMBER 18-23-10	
DOCUMENT REVIEW Conducted By: Elizabeth Bremer, ARCADIS BBL Representing: GE Phone Number: (315) 671-9444 Review Start Date: 11/20/2007	
1. X Check here to confirm that the description of the Conditional Solution in the Final Removal Design/Removal Action Work F attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (and any alternative plan proposed by GE for the compared described in Item 5 on next page), and any subsequent work plan(s) approved and implemented pursuant to Paragraph 35 of the Consent Decree have been reviewed.	rison
2. X Check here to confirm that the most recent property records from the Pittsfield Tax Assessor's Office for this property have been reviewed.	
3. Has there been a change in ownership of this property? X No Yes - If yes, list the new owner's name and mailing address below and indicate whether a notice of the Conditional Solution has been or will be sent to the new owner.	
4. Review Completed Date: 11/20/2007	
VISUAL SITE INSPECTION Conducted By: Greg Rabasco, ARCADIS BBL Representing: GE Phone Number: (413) 822-1184 Inspection Start Date: 11/28/2007	
List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual site inspection. None	
 Is there any visual evidence of changes in activities and uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially inconsistent with the land use for which the Conditional Solution was implemented (i.e., commercial/industrial use)? No Yes - If yes, describe below. 	
3. Is there any visual evidence of installation of a new utility or repair or replacement of an existing utility that involved disturbance of soil within the property since the last inspection? X No	
Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	

	CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C
4.	Is there any visual evidence of excavations, construction, or other activities or conditions that resulted in the disturbance of 10 cubic yards of soil or greater, regardless of depth, within the property? X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
5.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 and 4 appears to have altered the surface grade of the property compared to the surface grade shown on the attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (or an alternative, more recent plan proposed by GE), identify the approximate area/location(s) of such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade in the above-listed drawings and/or plan.
6	Inspection Completed Date: 11/28/2007

CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C	
PARCEL NUMBER 19-5-1	
DOCUMENT REVIEW Conducted By: Elizabeth Bremer, ARCADIS BBL Representing: GE Phone Number: (315) 671-9444 Review Start Date: 11/20/2007	
Check here to confirm that the description of the Conditional Solution in the Final Removal Design/Removal Action Work P attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (and any alternative plan proposed by GE for the compar described in Item 5 on next page), and any subsequent work plan(s) approved and implemented pursuant to Paragraph 35 of the Consent Decree have been reviewed.	rison
2. X Check here to confirm that the most recent property records from the Pittsfield Tax Assessor's Office for this property have been reviewed.	
3. Has there been a change in ownership of this property? X No Yes - If yes, list the new owner's name and mailing address below and indicate whether a notice of the Conditional Solution has been or will be sent to the new owner.	
4. Review Completed Date: 11/20/2007	
VISUAL SITE INSPECTION	
Conducted By: Greg Rabasco, ARCADIS BBL Representing: GE Phone Number: (413) 822-1184 Inspection Start Date: 11/28/2007	
List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual site inspection. None	
 Is there any visual evidence of changes in activities and uses of the property since the last inspection that are potentially inconsistent with the land use for which the Conditional Solution was implemented (i.e., recreational use)? No Yes - If yes, describe below. 	
3. Is there any visual evidence of installation of a new utility or repair or replacement of an existing utility that involved disturbance of soil within the property since the last inspection? X No	
Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.	

	CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C
4.	. Is there any visual evidence of excavations, construction, or other activities or conditions that resulted in the disturbance of 10 cubic yards of soil or greater, regardless of depth, within the property? X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
5.	. If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 and 4 appears to have altered the surface grade of the property compared to the surface grade shown on the attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (or an alternative, more recent plan proposed by GE), identify the approximate area/location(s) of such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade in the above-listed drawings and/or plan.
6	. Inspection Completed Date: 11/28/2007

Vork Plan, omparison aph 35
/
<u></u>
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
,
<u> </u>
_
<u> </u>
ally

	CONDITIONAL SOLUTION ANNUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST FOR OXBOW AREAS A AND C
4.	Is there any visual evidence of excavations, construction, or other activities or conditions that resulted in the disturbance of 10 cubic yards of soil or greater, regardless of depth, within the property? X No Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
5.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 3 and 4 appears to have altered the surface grade of the property compared to the surface grade shown on the attached technical drawing showing remediation as implemented (or an alternative, more recent plan proposed by GE), identify the approximate area/location(s) of such grade change on a plan and compare the new surface grade in such area(s) to the surface grade in the above-listed drawings and/or plan.
6	Inspection Completed Date: 11/28/2007

